

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/839,716	09/839,716 04/20/2001		Masaaki Yokoyama	262/010	2767
22249	7590	01/27/2004	EXAMINER		
LYON & L 633 WEST I			VO, HAI		
SUITE 4700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	. 90071	1771	1771	
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					¥					
The same		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)						
ĺ	066 4-46 0	09/8:	39,716	YOKOYAMA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Exam	niner	Art Unit						
		Hai \		1771						
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess					
HE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corre e period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the toreply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a fy will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Me e application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.					
Status 1\⊠	Poppopoliso to populario sticus (c.) (iii	-1405								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
	ion of Claims									
	4) Claim(s) 1.3.5 and 6 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	5)⊡ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3, 5, and 6</u> is/are rejected.									
	7) Claim(s) 1,3, 5, and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	on requirement							
	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		r b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obje									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)∐ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim \square All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received.							
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received in A	Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio 	of the priority docu nal Bureau (PCT F	iments have beer Rule 17 2(a))	received in this National Sta	ge					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the ce	ertified copies not	received.						
_13)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional and	plication)					
37	nce a specific reference was include CFR 1.78.	a in the lirst senter	ice of the specific	ation or in an Application Dat	a Sheet.					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign lan	iguage provisional	application has b	een received.						
14)∐ A⊦ re:	cknowledgment is made of a claim for ference was included in the first sent	or domestic priority tence of the specifi	[,] under 35 U.S.C. ication or in an A _l	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a spoplication Data Sheet, 37 CFF	pecific R 1.78.					
Attachment		·								
1) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview 5	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	<u> </u>					
7 <u> </u>	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	aper No(s)	6) L Other:	•						

Application/Control Number: 09/839,716

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito (US 5,476,618) in view of JP 10-077359 substantially as set forth in the Office Action mailed on 08/26/2003. The amendment filed on 12/19/2003 has been entered and carefully considered. Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite "the surface layer is fusion bonded directly to the foam layer". Figure 17 of Ito shows that the skin layer 105 is directly bonded to the layer of expanded bead resin foam 103, therefore, claim 1 continues to be unpatentable over the applied prior art. The indicated allowability of the subject matter of claim 9 is withdrawn and is now included in the 103 art rejections as stated. Ito is silent as to the fusion bonding between the surface layer and the foam layer. However, it is a product-by-process limitation not as yet shown to produce a patentably distinct article. It is the examiner's position that the molding composite of Ito as modified by JP'359 is identical to or only slightly different than the claimed molding composite prepared by the method of the claim, because both articles are formed from the same materials, having structural similarity (foam beads/ coat covering /surface layer). The Ito/JP'359 reference suggests the claimed subject matter. It is noted that if the applicant intends to rely on Examples in the

Art Unit: 1771

specification or in a submitted Declaration to show non-obviousness, the applicant should clearly state how the Examples of the present invention are commensurate in scope with the claims and how the Comparative Examples are commensurate in scope with Ito/JP'359.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 7:00-4:30 and on alternating Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

HV

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700